

# SAN FRANCISCO

# PLANNING DEPARTMENT

# Certificate of Determination COMMUNITY PLAN EVALUATION

1650 Mission St. Suite 400 San Francisco. CA 94103-2479

Reception:

415.558.6378

Fax:

415.558.6409

**Planning** 

Information: 415.558.6377

2014-002035ENV

Project Address:

2140 Market Street

Zoning:

Case No .:

Upper Market Neighborhood Commercial Transit Use District

40-X/50-X Height and Bulk District

Block/Lot:

3542/008, 3542/009

Lot Size: Plan Area: 8,803 square feet Market and Octavia

Project Sponsor:

Warner Schmalz, Ankrom Moisan Architects, (415) 445-4670

Staff Contact:

Don Lewis, (415) 575-9168, don.lewis@sfgov.org

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site is located on the north side of Market Street between Church and Sanchez streets in the Castro/Upper Market neighborhood. The project site is occupied by a one-story commercial building approximately 2,380 square feet in size with a surface vehicular parking lot containing approximately 15 spaces. The existing building was constructed in 1906 and is occupied by a bar ("Lucky 13"). The commercial building includes a basement office/storage space and patio area. The proposed project involves the demolition of the existing building, the removal of the surface parking lot, and construction of a 55-foot-tall (67-foot-tall with elevator penthouse), five-story, mixed-use building approximately 29,000 square feet in size. The proposed building would step down to 35 feet (three stories) towards the rear of the project site. The proposed building would include 27 residential units and 1,600 square feet of ground-floor commercial use. The proposed mix of units would be one-bedroom and two-bedroom units.

(Continued on next page.)

### CEQA DETERMNATION

The project is eligible for streamlined environmental review per Section 15183 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3

#### DETERMINATION

I do hereby certify that the above determination has been made pursuant to State and Local requirements.

**Environmental Review Officer** 

5/23/17

Historic Preservation Distribution List

Distribution List

Virna Byrd, M.D.F.

cc: Warner Schmaltz, Project Sponsor, Supervisor Jeff Sheehy, District 8 Jeffrey Horn, Current Planner Exemption/Exclusion File

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION (continued)

The proposed building would include 29 Class I bicycle spaces at the ground-floor level and five Class II bicycle spaces would be located on the Market Street sidewalk in front of the project site. The proposed project would install an approximately 15-foot-long loading zone on Market Street in front of the project site. The proposed basement would provide storage space for the tenants of the building. The existing 15-foot-wide curb cut on Market Street would be removed and standard sidewalk and curb dimensions restored. The proposed building would include a 2,260-square-foot common roof deck and a 750-square-foot common deck in the rear yard. In addition, seven of the proposed units would include private open space ranging from 190 to 360 square feet in size. The project would replace one tree in the rear of the project site with 20 trees, and the three existing street trees in front of the project site would remain. During the approximately 18-month construction period, the proposed project would require approximately 12 feet of excavation below ground surface and approximately 1,080 cubic yards of soil is proposed to be removed from the project site for the basement level. The proposed building would be supported on a mat slab foundation with drilled, cast in place, concrete piers. Impact piling driving is not proposed or required.

#### PROJECT APPROVALS

The proposed project at 2140 Market Street would require a building permit from the Department of Building Inspection (DBI) for the proposed demolition and construction on the project site. The proposed project is subject to notification under Planning Code Section 312. If discretionary review before the Planning Commission is requested, the discretionary review decision constitutes the Approval Action for the proposed project. If no discretionary review is requested, the issuance of the building permit by DBI constitutes the Approval Action for the proposed project. The Approval Action date establishes the start of the 30-day appeal period for this CEQA exemption determination pursuant to Section 31.04(h) of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

#### COMMUNITY PLAN EVALUATION OVERVIEW

California Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183 provide that projects that are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was certified, shall not be subject to additional environmental review except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site. Section 15183 specifies that examination of environmental effects shall be limited to those effects that: (a) are peculiar to the project or parcel on which the project would be located; (b) were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan, or community plan with which the project is consistent; (c) are potentially significant off-site and cumulative impacts that were not discussed in the underlying EIR; or (d) are previously identified in the EIR, but which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time that the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than that discussed in the underlying EIR. Section 15183(c) specifies that if an impact is not peculiar to the parcel or to the proposed project, then an EIR need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact.

This determination evaluates the potential project-specific environmental effects of the 2140 Market Street project described above, and incorporates by reference information contained in the Programmatic EIR for the *Market and Octavia Area Plan* (Market and Octavia PEIR). Project-specific studies were prepared for the proposed project to determine if the project would result in any significant environmental impacts that were not identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

On April 5, 2007, the Planning Commission certified the Market and Octavia PEIR by Motion No. 17406.<sup>2,3</sup> The PEIR analyzed amendments to the *San Francisco General Plan* (*General Plan*) to create the *Market and Octavia Area Plan* and amendments to the Planning Code and Zoning Maps, including the creation of the Upper Market Street NCT (Neighborhood Commercial Transit) District. The PEIR analysis was based upon an assumed development and activity that were anticipated to occur under the *Market and Octavia Area Plan*. The proposed 2140 Market Street project is in conformance with the height, use, and density for the site described in the Market and Octavia PEIR and would represent a small part of the growth that was forecast for the *Market and Octavia Plan* area. Thus, the plan analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR considered the incremental impacts of the proposed 2140 Market Street project. As a result, the proposed project would not result in any new or substantially more severe impacts than were identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

The Upper Market Street NCT District is located on Market Street from Church to Noe streets and portions of side streets that intersect Market Street. This district is a multi-purpose commercial district that provides limited convenience goods to adjacent neighborhoods but also serves as a shopping district for a broader trade area. A large number of offices are located on Market Street within easy transit access to downtown. This district is well served by transit and is anchored by the Market Street light rail, with underground stations at Church Street and Castro Street, and the F-Market historic streetcar line. All light rail lines in the City travel through this district. Market Street is also a primary bicycle corridor. In order to preserve the pedestrian-oriented character of the district and prevent attracting auto traffic, off-street residential parking is not required and is generally limited. Commercial establishments are discouraged or prohibited from providing accessory off-street parking. In addition, there are prohibitions on access (curb cuts, driveways, and garage entries) to off-street parking and loading facilities on Market and Church streets. As part of the City's Better Neighborhoods Program, these concepts were fully articulated in the *Market and Octavia Area Plan*.

In May 2008, subsequent to the certification of the PEIR, the Board of Supervisors approved and the Mayor signed into law revisions to the Planning Code, Zoning Maps, and *General Plan* that constituted the "project" analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR. The legislation created several new zoning controls, which allow for flexible types of new housing to meet a broad range of needs, reduce parking requirements to encourage housing and services without adding cars, balance transportation by considering people movement over auto movement, and build walkable whole neighborhoods meeting everyday needs. The *Market and Octavia Area Plan*, as evaluated in the PEIR and as approved by the Board of Supervisors, accommodates the proposed use, design, and density of the 2140 Market Street project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> San Francisco Planning Department Case No. 2003.0347E, State Clearinghouse No. 2004012118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> San Francisco Planning Department, Market and Octavia Area Plan Final Environmental Impact Report, Case No. 2003.0347E, certified April 5, 2007. This document is available online at <a href="https://www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1714">www.sf-planning.org/index.aspx?page=1714</a>, accessed January 8, 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> San Francisco Planning Commission Motion No. 17406, April 5, 2007. Available online at: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=568">http://www.sf-planning.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=568</a>, accessed January 8, 2016.

Individual projects that could occur in the future under the *Market and Octavia Area Plan* will undergo project-level environmental evaluation to determine if they would result in further impacts specific to the development proposal, the site, and the time of development and to assess whether additional environmental review would be required. This determination concludes that the proposed project at 2140 Market Street is consistent with and was encompassed within the analysis in the Market and Octavia PEIR. This determination also finds that the Market and Octavia PEIR adequately anticipated and described the impacts of the proposed 2140 Market Street project, and identified the mitigation measures applicable to the 2140 Market Street project. The proposed project is also consistent with the zoning controls and the provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the project site.<sup>4,5</sup> Therefore, no further CEQA evaluation for the 2140 Market Street project is required. In sum, the Market and Octavia PEIR and this Certificate of Determination and accompanying project-specific initial study comprise the full and complete CEQA evaluation necessary for the proposed project.

#### PROJECT SETTING

The project site is located on the north side of Market Street between Church and Sanchez streets in the Castro/Upper Market neighborhood. The project site is occupied by a one-story commercial building approximately 2,380 square feet in size with a surface vehicular parking lot containing approximately 15 spaces. Immediately adjacent to the project site is a three-story, mixed-use building (constructed in 1907) with two residential units and ground-floor retail ("Joe's Barber Shop) and a three-story apartment building (constructed in 1910) with three residential units. There is an approximately 33-foot-tall decorative lamp post in front of the project site.<sup>6</sup>

Buildings located on the north side of Market Street, from Church to Sanchez streets, are primarily three to four stories tall with ground-floor retail and residential units above. Other buildings in this area include a three-story hotel ("Twin Peaks Hotel"), and a three-story social hall and commercial building ("Swedish American Hall" and "Café Du Nord") that was constructed in 1907 in the Scandinavian Revival style. At the northeast corner of Market and Sanchez streets (2198 Market Street) is a newly constructed six-story, mixed-used building with 87 dwelling units. Across Market Street south of the project site, from Church to Sanchez streets, is primarily mixed-use buildings two to three stories tall. At the southeast corner of Market and 15th streets (directly across from 2198 Market Street) is the newly constructed 2175 Market Street development which is a six-story, mixed-use building with 88 dwelling units. The recently approved 2100 Market Street development is located about 120 feet east of the project site at the northwest corner of Church, Market, and 14th streets. This development is currently under construction and involves the construction of a seven-story mixed-use building with 62 residential units and ground-floor retail space.

Market Street is a major transportation corridor through downtown San Francisco that runs northeast to southwest from the Ferry Building on The Embarcadero to the Castro, Upper Market, and Twin Peaks neighborhoods. The project site is well served by public transportation. The San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni) operates the F Market historic streetcar plus a number of surface buses that run on Church and Market streets. Muni also operates the Muni Metro light rail system, which runs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Evaluation Eligibility Determination, Citywide Planning Analysis, 2140 Market Street, December 15, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> San Francisco Planning Department, Community Plan Evaluation Eligibility Determination, Current Planning Analysis, 2140 Market Street, October 13, 2015.

<sup>6</sup> This lamp post is among the 327 light standards associated with Market Street's "Path of Gold," which runs from 1 Market to 2490 Market and is San Francisco Landmark #200.

underground beneath Market Street in the project vicinity. There is a Muni Metro station immediately adjacent to the project site. Within 250 feet of the project site, the San Francisco Municipal Railway (Muni) operates the F-Market, J-Church, K-Owl, KT-Ingleside/Third Street, L-Taraval, M-Ocean View, and N-Judah Muni Metro lines, and the 22-Fillmore and 37-Corbett bus lines.

The project site is within the Upper Market Street Commercial Historic District. The surrounding parcels are either within the Upper Market NCT district (along Market Street towards the west of the project site), the Moderate-Scale Neighborhood Commercial Transit district (along Market Street to the east of the project site), or the Residential Transit-Oriented district (surrounding parcels that are not located on Market Street). Height and bulk district within a one-block radius range between 40-X to 65-X.

#### POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

The Market and Octavia PEIR analyzed environmental issues including: plans and policies; land use and zoning; population, housing, and employment; urban design and visual quality; shadow and wind; cultural (historic and archeological) resources; transportation; air quality; noise; hazardous materials; geology, soils, and seismicity; public facilities, services, and utilities; hydrology; biology; and growth inducement. The proposed 2140 Market Street project is in conformance with the height, use and density for the site described in the Market and Octavia PEIR and would represent a small part of the growth that was forecast for the area covered by the *Market and Octavia Plan*. Thus, the plan analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR considered the incremental impacts of the proposed 2140 Market Street project. As a result, the proposed project would not result in any new or substantially more severe impacts than were identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

The Market and Octavia PEIR identified significant impacts related to shadow, wind, archeology, transportation, air quality, hazardous materials, and geology. Mitigation measures were identified for these impacts and reduced all of these impacts to less-than-significant levels with the exception of those related to shadow (impacts on two open spaces: the War Memorial Open Space and United Nations Plaza) and transportation (project- and program-level as well as cumulative traffic impacts at nine intersections; project-level and cumulative transit impacts on the 21 Hayes Muni line). A shadow fan analysis prepared by the Planning Department determined that the proposed project would not shadow any parks or open spaces.7 At a height of 55 feet, the proposed project is not tall enough to substantially alter ground-level wind currents in a manner that would adversely affect public areas and result in a significant wind impact. Implementation of the proposed project would involve the demolition of a building that was determined to be a contributor to the Upper Market Street Commercial Historic District. Department staff found that the removal of one contributing resource out of the 73 contributing properties in the district, would not impact the district's integrity such that the district would no longer be eligible for listing on the California Register. Additionally, Department staff determined that the architectural design of the proposed project would be compatible with the character of the Upper Market Street Commercial Historic District.8 Consistent with the assumptions in the Market and Octavia PEIR, it is anticipated that the proposed project would add vehicle trips to the Market/Church/14th streets intersection that could potentially contribute to worsening the intersection operating conditions. This impact was disclosed in the PEIR as significant and unavoidable due to future growth in the project area and the infeasibility of the proposed mitigation measure. Transit ridership generated by the project

San Francisco Planning Department, Shadow Fan Analysis for 2140 Market Street, January 11, 2017

San Francisco Planning Department, Historic Resource Evaluation Form, 2140 Market Street, May 11, 2017.

would not make a considerable contribution to the transit impacts identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

The Market and Octavia PEIR identified feasible mitigation measures to address significant impacts related to noise, air quality, archeological resources, historic resources, hazardous materials, and transportation. **Table 1** lists the mitigation measures identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR and states whether each measure would apply to the proposed project.

Table 1 - Market and Octavia PEIR Mitigation Measures

Mitigation Measure	Applicability	Compliance
A. Shadow		
A1. Parks and Open Space Not Subject to Section 295	Applicable: Project exceeds a height of 50 feet.	Completed: The Planning Department generated a shadow fan and determined that the proposed project would not shadow any parks or open spaces.
B. Wind		
B1: Buildings in Excess of 85 Feet in Height	Not Applicable: Project does not exceed a height of 85 feet.	Not Applicable
B2: All New Construction	Applicable: Project involves new construction of a 55-foottall building.	Completed: The project sponsor has designed the proposed project to minimize its effects on ground-level wind conditions.
C. Archeological Resources		
C1: Soil-Disturbing Activities in Archeologically Documented Properties	Not Applicable: Project site is not located on an archeologically documented property.	Not Applicable
C2: General Soil-Disturbing Activities	Applicable: Project would include soil-disturbing activities.	The Planning Department has conducted a Preliminary Archeological Review, and the project sponsor has agreed to implement the Planning Department's Second Standard Mitigation Measure, which requires an Archeological Monitoring Program (see Project Mitigation Measure 1).
C3: Soil-Disturbing Activities in	Not Applicable: Project would	Not Applicable

Mitigation Measure	Applicability	Compliance
Public Street and Open Space Improvements	not include soil-disturbing activities associated with public street or open space improvements.	
C4: Soil-Disturbing Activities in the Mission Dolores Archeological District	Not Applicable: Project site is not in the Mission Dolores Archeological District.	Not Applicable
D. Transportation		
D3: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Laguna/Market/ Hermann/Guerrero Streets Intersection (LOS D to LOS E PM peak-hour)	Not Applicable: Plan level mitigation to be implemented by the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA).	Not Applicable
D4: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Market/Sanchez/ Fifteenth Streets Intersection (LOS E to LOS E with increased delay PM peak-hour)	Not Applicable: Plan-level mitigation to be implemented by the SFMTA.	Not Applicable
D5: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Market/Church/ Fourteenth Streets Intersection (LOS E to LOS E with increased delay PM peak hour)	Not Applicable: Plan-level mitigation to be implemented by the SFMTA.	Not Applicable
D6: Traffic Mitigation Measure for Mission Street/Otis Street/South Van Ness Intersection (LOS F to LOS F with increased delay PM peak-hour)	Not Applicable: Plan-level mitigation to be implemented by the SFMTA.	N/A
E. Air Quality		
E1: Construction Mitigation Measure for Particulate Emissions	Not Applicable: Superseded by Construction Dust Control Ordinance.	Not Applicable
E2: Construction Mitigation Measure for Short-Term Exhaust Emissions	Not Applicable: Project site is not in an Air Pollutant Exposure Zone.	Not Applicable
F. Hazardous Materials		
F1: Program- or Project-Level Mitigation Measures	Not Applicable: Superseded by Construction Dust Control Ordinance and federal, state, and local regulations related to abatement and handling of hazardous materials.	Not Applicable

Mitigation Measure	Applicability	Compliance
G. Geology, Soils, and Seismicity		
G1: Construction-Related Soils Mitigation Measure	Not Applicable: Superseded by San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Construction Site Runoff Ordinance.	Not Applicable

Please see the attached Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the complete text of the applicable mitigation measures. With implementation of these mitigation measures, the proposed project would not result in significant impacts beyond those analyzed in the Market and Octavia PEIR.

#### PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT

A "Notification of Project Receiving Environmental Review" was mailed on September 15, 2015 to adjacent occupants and owners of properties within 300 feet of the project site. Overall, concerns and issues raised by the public in response to the notice were taken into consideration and incorporated in the environmental review as appropriate for CEQA analysis. Concerns raised by the public include the following: the height of the proposed building is too tall; the project would create shade and wind tunnels on properties on 14th Street; and off-street parking needs to be provided due to the lack of onstreet parking in the area and because nearby new development also do not provide off-street vehicle parking.

The proposed height of the building is permitted in the 55-X height and bulk district. As discussed in the Shadow section of the initial study checklist, the proposed project would shade portions of nearby streets, sidewalks and private property in the project vicinity. Shadows upon streets, sidewalks, and private property would not exceed levels commonly expected in urban areas, and would be considered a less-than-significant impact under CEQA. Although occupants of nearby property may regard the increase in shadow as undesirable, the limited increase in shading of private properties as a result of the proposed project would not be considered a significant impact under CEQA.

As discussed in the Wind Section of the initial study checklist, based on wind analyses for other development projects in San Francisco, a building that does not exceed a height of 85 feet generally has little potential to cause substantial changes to ground-level wind conditions. At a height of 55 feet, the proposed project would be one to three stories taller than most of the existing development adjacent to the site and would be similar in height to new development in the project vicinity. Given its height, orientation, design, location, and surrounding development context, the proposed building has little potential to cause substantial changes to ground-level wind conditions adjacent to and near the project site.

As discussed in the Aesthetics and Parking section of the initial study checklist, Public Resources Code Section 21099(d) amended CEQA by stating that parking impacts of a residential project on an infill site located within a transit priority area, such as this project, shall not be considered a significant impact on the environment. Further, the project site is located in the Upper Market NCT zoning district where

under Section 151.1 of the Planning Code, the proposed project would not be required to provide any offstreet parking spaces.

#### CONCLUSION

As summarized above and further discussed in the project-specific initial study checklist:9

- 1. The proposed project is consistent with the development density established for the project site in the *Market and Octavia Area Plan*;
- 2. The proposed project would not result in effects on the environment that are peculiar to the project or the project site that were not identified as significant effects in the Market and Octavia PEIR;
- 3. The proposed project would not result in potentially significant off-site or cumulative impacts that were not identified in the Market and Octavia PEIR;
- 4. The proposed project would not result in significant effects, which, as a result of substantial new information that was not known at the time the Market and Octavia PEIR was certified, would be more severe than were already analyzed and disclosed in the PEIR; and
- 5. The project sponsor will undertake feasible mitigation measures specified in the Market and Octavia PEIR to mitigate project-related significant impacts.

Therefore, no further environmental review shall be required for the proposed project pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083.3 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15183.

SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

9

The initial study checklist is available for review at the San Francisco Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, as part of Case File No. 2014-002035ENV.

## 2140 MARKET STREET- MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

#### MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

consultation

with ERO.

	Responsibility		Responsibility Mitigation					
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring			
Adopted Mitigation Measure	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule			

#### MITIGATION MEASURE AGREED TO BY PROJECT SPONSOR

#### ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Project Mitigation Measure 1 - Archeological Testing (Implements Mitigation Measure C2 Project sponsor. of the Market & Octavia PEIR). Based on a reasonable presumption that archeological resources may be present within the project site, the following measures shall be undertaken to avoid any potentially significant adverse effect from the proposed project on buried or submerged historical resources. The project sponsor shall retain the services of an archaeological consultant from the rotational Department Qualified Archaeological Consultants List (QACL) maintained by the Planning Department archaeologist. The project sponsor shall contact the Department archeologist to obtain the names and contact information for the next three archeological consultants on the QACL. The archeological consultant shall undertake an archeological testing program as specified herein. In addition, the consultant shall be available to conduct an archeological monitoring and/or data recovery program if required pursuant to this requirement. The archeological consultant's work shall be conducted in accordance with this requirement at the direction of the Environmental Review Officer (ERO). All plans and reports prepared by the consultant as specified herein shall be submitted first and directly to the ERO for review and comment, and shall be considered draft reports subject to revision until final approval by the ERO. Archeological monitoring and/or data recovery programs required by this requirement could suspend construction of the project for up to a maximum of four weeks. At the direction of the ERO, the suspension of construction can be extended beyond four weeks only if such a suspension is the only feasible means to reduce to a less than significant level potential effects on a significant archeological resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines Sect. 15064.5 (a)(c).

Project sponsor Prior to issuance of to retain grading or archeological building consultant to permits. undertake archaeological testing and, if required, archeological monitoring program in

Project sponsor, archeologist, and ERO.

Complete when project sponsor retains a qualified archeological consultant.

#### MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Adopted Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Mitigation Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
with descendant Native Americans or the Overseas Chinese an appropriate representative <sup>2</sup> of the descendant group and the ERO shall be contacted. The representative of the descendant group shall be given the opportunity to monitor archeological field investigations of the site and to consult with ERO regarding appropriate archeological treatment of the site, of recovered data from the site, and, if applicable, any interpretative treatment of the associated archeological site. A copy of the Final Archaeological Resources Report shall be provided to the representative of the descendant group.	Project sponsor/archeol ogical consultant in consultation with any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List and Chinese Historical Society of America.	In the event of discovery of an archeological site associated with descendant Native Americans or Overseas Chinese.	Contact any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List and Chinese Historical Society of America and implement any further mitigation advised.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered complete upon notification of appropriate organization and implementati on of any further mitigation as advised.
ERO for review and approval an archeological testing plan (ATP). The archeological testing program shall be conducted in accordance with the approved ATP. The ATP shall identify the property types of the expected archeological resource(s) that potentially could be	Project sponsor/ archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.	Prior to soil- disturbing activities on the project site.	Prepare and submit draft ATP, implement ATP.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	After consultation with and approval by ERO of ATP. Considered complete on submittal to ERO of report on ATP findings.

<sup>1</sup> By the term "archeological site" is intended here to minimally included any archeological deposit, feature, burial, or evidence of burial.

An "appropriate representative" of the descendant group is here defined to mean, in the case of Native Americans, any individual listed in the current Native American Contact List for the City and County of San Francisco maintained by the California Native American Heritage Commission and in the case of the Overseas Chinese, the Chinese Historical Society of America.

MONITORING	AND	REPORTING	PROGRAM

Adopted Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Mitigation Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
At the completion of the archeological testing program, the archeological consultant shall submit a written report of the findings to the ERO. If based on the archeological testing program the archeological consultant finds that significant archeological resources may be present, the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine if additional measures are warranted. Additional measures that may be undertaken include additional archeological testing, archeological monitoring, and/or an archeological data recovery program. If the ERO determines that a significant archeological resource is present and that the resource could be adversely affected by the proposed project, at the discretion of the project sponsor either:	consultant at the direction of the ERO.	After completion of ATP.	Submit report to ERO of the findings of the ATP.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered complete on submittal to ERO of report on ATP findings.
A) The proposed project shall be re-designed so as to avoid any adverse effect on the significant archeological resource; or					
B) A data recovery program shall be implemented, unless the ERO determines that the archeological resource is of greater interpretive than research significance and that interpretive use of the resource is feasible.					
<ul> <li>Archeological Monitoring Program. If the ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant determines that an archeological monitoring program shall be implemented the archeological monitoring program shall minimally include the following provisions:</li> <li>The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and ERO shall meet and consult on the scope of the AMP reasonably prior to any project-related soils disturbing activities commencing. The ERO in consultation with the archeological consultant shall determine what project activities shall be archeologically monitored. In most cases, any soils-disturbing activities, such as demolition, foundation removal, excavation, grading, utilities installation, foundation work (foundation, shoring, etc.), site remediation, etc., shall require archeological monitoring because of the risk these activities pose to potential archaeological resources and to their depositional context;</li> <li>The archeological consultant shall advise all project contractors to be on the alert for evidence of the presence of the expected resource(s), of how to identify the evidence of the expected resource(s), and of the appropriate protocol in the event of apparent discovery of an archeological resource;</li> <li>The archeological monitor(s) shall be present on the project site according to a schedule</li> </ul>	consultant/ archeological monitor / contractor(s) at the direction of the ERO.	ERO and archeological consultant meet prior to commencement of soil-disturbing activity. If ERO determines that an AMP is necessary, monitor throughout all soil-disturbing activities.	Implement AMP.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered complete on findings by ERO that AMP implemented.
agreed upon by the archeological consultant and the ERO until the ERO has, in					

MONITORING	AND	REPORTING	PROCRAM
	AND	NEIUNIING	LUCTUAN

Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Mitigation Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
Archeological consultant.		Notify ERO if intact archeological deposit is encountered.		
Archeological consultant at the direction of the ERO.	If there is determination by the ERO that an ADRP is required.	Prepare an ARDP.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered complete on findings by ERO that ARDP is implemented.
	Archeological consultant at the direction of the	for Implementation Schedule  Archeological consultant.  Archeological If there is determination direction of the ERO. that an ADRP is	Archeological consultant.  Archeological deposit is encountered.  Archeological If there is consultant at the determination direction of the by the ERO that ERO.  Mitigation Action  Notify ERO if intact archeological deposit is encountered.	Archeological consultant at the direction of the by the ERO that ERO.  Mitigation Mitigation Action Reporting Responsibility  Notify ERO if intact archeological deposit is encountered.  Archeological consultant at the determination direction of the by the ERO that ERO.  Archeological and ARDP. and Archeological consultant and ERO.

MONITORING	AND	REPORTING	PROCRAM
	AND	NEIUNIT	INCOLINAINI

	Responsibility			Mitigation	
	for	Mitigation	Mitigation	Reporting	Monitoring
Adopted Mitigation Measure	Implementation	Schedule	Action	Responsibility	Schedule

The scope of the ADRP shall include the following elements:

- Field Methods and Procedures. Descriptions of proposed field strategies, procedures, and operations.
- Cataloguing and Laboratory Analysis. Description of selected cataloguing system and artifact analysis procedures.
- Discard and Deaccession Policy. Description of and rationale for field and post-field discard and deaccession policies.
- *Interpretive Program*. Consideration of an on-site/off-site public interpretive program during the course of the archeological data recovery program.
- *Security Measures*. Recommended security measures to protect the archeological resource from vandalism, looting, and non-intentionally damaging activities.
- Final Report. Description of proposed report format and distribution of results.
- *Curation*. Description of the procedures and recommendations for the curation of any recovered data having potential research value, identification of appropriate curation facilities, and a summary of the accession policies of the curation facilities.

Human Remains and Associated or Unassociated Funerary Objects. The treatment of human remains and of associated or unassociated funerary objects discovered during any soils disturbing activity shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws. This shall include immediate notification of the Coroner of the City and County of San Francisco and in the event of the Coroner's determination that the human remains are Native American remains, notification of the California State Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) who shall appoint a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) (Pub. Res. Code Sec. 5097.98). The archeological consultant, project sponsor, and MLD shall make all reasonable efforts to develop an agreement for the treatment of, with appropriate dignity, human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects (CEQA Guidelines. Sec. 15064.5(d)). The agreement should take into consideration the appropriate excavation, removal, recordation, analysis, custodianship, curation, and final disposition of the human remains and associated or unassociated funerary objects.

	Project sponsor/	In the event	Contact San	Archeological	Considered
,	archeological	human remains	Francisco	consultant and	complete on
•	consultant in	and/or funerary	County Coroner.	ERO.	notification of
•	consultation	objects are	Implement		the San
	with the San	encountered.	regulatory		Francisco
•	Francisco		requirements, if		County
•	Coroner, NAHC,		applicable,		Coroner and
,	and MLD.		regarding		NAHC, if
			discovery of		necessary.
			Native		
			American		
			human remains		
			and associated/		
			unassociated		

funerary objects.

# MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Adopted Mitigation Measure	Responsibility for Implementation	Mitigation Schedule	Mitigation Action	Mitigation Reporting Responsibility	Monitoring Schedule
Final Archeological Resources Report. The archeological consultant shall submit a Draft Final Archeological Resources Report (FARR) to the ERO that evaluates the historical significance of any discovered archeological resource and describes the archeological and historical research methods employed in the archeological testing/monitoring/data recovery program(s) undertaken. Information that may put at risk any archeological resource shall be provided in a separate removable insert within the final report.	archeological consultant at the direction of the	After completion of archeological data recovery, inventorying, analysis, and interpretation.	Submit a draft FARR.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered complete on submittal of FARR.
Once approved by the ERO, copies of the FARR shall be distributed as follows: California Archaeological Site Survey Northwest Information Center (NWIC) shall receive one (1) copy and the ERO shall receive a copy of the transmittal of the FARR to the NWIC. The Environmental Planning division of the Planning Department shall receive one bound, one unbound and one unlocked, searchable PDF copy on CD of the FARR along with copies of any formal site recordation forms (CA DPR 523 series) and/or documentation for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places/California Register of Historical Resources. In instances of high public interest in or the high interpretive value of the resource, the ERO may require a different final report content, format, and distribution than that presented above.	ERO.	Written certification submitted to ERO that required FARR distribution has been completed.	Distribute FARR.	Archeological consultant and ERO.	Considered compete on distribution of FARR.